

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,081	09/04/2003	Hae-Don Chon	678-1218 (P11022)	1856	
75	90 11/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Paul J. Farrell DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			SOTOMAYOR, JOHN B		
333 Earle Oving			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Uniondale, NY 11553			3662		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	G
	10/656,081	CHON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John B. Sotomayor	3662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under between the condition of the condition	·		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 September 2003 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings filed September 4, 2003 appear to be formal and are acceptable for examination purposes.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockelsby et al ('732 or '954 or '846) in view of Cohn ('916) or Asbury et al ('794) or Haddad et al ('435).

The claimed invention, as represented by independent claim 1, is considered to be disclosed by Brockelsby et al ('732 or '954 or '846) who show a transponder system including, inter alia, an interrogation unit and a transponder unit in communication with each other. However, Brockelsby et al ('732 or '954 or '846) show a transponder unit located on the vehicle and the interrogator located on the roadway whereas the claimed invention sites the transponder on the roadway and the reader on the vehicle. Clearly this distinction cannot be held patentable since it the principle of reciprocity is well known in the electronic communication art. In other words, the communication channel established between either the claimed invention and Brockelsby et al ('732 or '954 or

Application/Control Number: 10/656,081

Art Unit: 3662

Control Hamber: 10/000,00

'846) can easily be switched. Nonetheless the prior art specifically shows an arrangement such as that claimed.

Cohn ('916) or Asbury et al ('794) or Haddad et al ('435) is cited to show an interrogation transponder communication system that includes, inter alia, the transponder located at the roadway and the reader located in the vehicle.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to locate the transponder on the roadway for the system in Brockelsby et al ('732 or '954 or '846) as taught and as motivated by Cohn ('916) or Asbury et al ('794) or Haddad et al ('435) in order to establish a communication channel there between. The remaining claims are considered to be either shown by the references or are well known technical variations in the art. For example, the references show RF communication signals, memory for storing vehicle position and other data.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art show various radar systems.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Sotomayor whose telephone number is 703-306-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,081

Art Unit: 3662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom H. Tarcza, can be reached on 703-306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John B. Sotomayor Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662 Page 4